

Contents

Preface — XV

Abbreviations — XVII

Introduction — 1

I The Conception of an “Introduction” as Part of an Account of Christian Doctrine after the Anthropological Turn

Introduction — 7

- 1 **The Tasks and the Layout of the “Introduction” in the First and the Second Editions of *The Christian Faith* — 9**
- 1.1 “Philosophical theology” as a framework for dogmatics in the *Brief Outline* (1811) — **10**
- 1.1.1 The enquiries constituting “philosophical theology” — **11**
- 1.1.2 Dogmatics as part of “historical theology” — **11**
- 1.1.3 Issues requiring clarification — **14**
- 1.2 The Introductions of 1811 and 1821/22: Continuities and differences — **15**
- 1.2.1 Continuities between the Lecture course and the first edition of the *Glaubenslehre* — **17**
- 1.2.2 Differences — **18**
- 1.2.3 The systematic reason for beginning the *Glaubenslehre* with a definition of dogmatics — **19**
- 1.3 The final ordering in 1830/31 — **20**
- 1.3.1 The new sequence of the propositions — **20**
- 1.3.2 The effect of the reorganisation — **23**
- 1.4 The final shape of the architecture of the *Glaubenslehre* in current debate — **25**
- 1.4.1 Schleiermacher’s discussion of his planned revisions in the 1829 Letters to Lücke — **25**
- 1.4.2 Counterarguments to reversing the sequence of Parts One and Two — **27**

2	Determining the Essence of Piety by Two Distinct Methods in the First and the Second Editions — 29
2.1	The original version: A phenomenological approach — 29
2.1.1	Feeling as the location of piety (¹ § 8) — 30
2.1.2	The feeling of absolute dependence as a consciousness of God (¹ § 9) — 32
2.1.3	The need to keep “partial” and “absolute” dependence distinct in the interpretation of both editions — 34
2.2	The transcendental turn in defining the essence of piety in ² §§ 3 and 4 — 36
2.2.1	The first step: The analysis of actual self-consciousness in its dual constitution — 37
2.2.1.1	The deepened account of ² § 3 for the place of “feeling” in relation to thinking and acting — 38
2.2.1.2	Actual self-consciousness as marked by the distinction between “positing” and “posited” — 40
2.2.1.3	The essential structure of the subject as the functional unity of activity and receptivity — 41
2.2.2	The second step: The impossibility of founding the structure of finite freedom either on itself or on the world — 42
2.2.2.1	Comparing the key terms of the second edition with their English translations — 42
2.2.2.2	Enquiring into the origin of the subject’s structure — 45
2.2.3	The third step: The immediate self-consciousness of utter dependence as an innate relation to God — 46
2.2.3.1	The lack of foundation in the finite as the basis for claiming a “Whence” — 47
2.2.3.2	Immediate and inaccessible as an object? — 48
2.2.3.3	The feeling of absolute dependence as the hermeneutical basis of the concept of God — 49
2.2.3.4	Self-authenticating claim or a careful identification of the anthropological foundation for the question of God? — 51
2.3	Disputes about the interpretation of the analysis and of its results — 52
2.3.1	Questions to a transcendental reconstruction — 53
2.3.1.1	Different understandings of “transcendental” — 54
2.3.1.2	Objections to reconstructing Schleiermacher’s argument as an analysis of facticity — 57

- 2.3.2 The immediate self-consciousness as pre-reflective, and its divergent interpretations as monist or as egological — **61**
- 2.3.2.1 Comparing Schleiermacher and Dieter Henrich on an immediate or “pre-reflective” consciousness — **63**
- 2.3.2.2 The “pre-reflective familiarity of the Ego with itself” as the first principle of a theory of subjectivity — **64**
- 2.3.3 Questions to the result of the analysis of 2§ 4 — **65**
- 2.3.3.1 Distinguishing between the key findings and the choices made in their interpretation: facticity as “absolute dependence” from God — **65**
- 2.3.3.2 Questions to the “immediacy” of the self-consciousness as a God-consciousness — **67**
- 2.3.3.3 The issue of what is being claimed: the possibility or the actuality of a God-consciousness in all humans? — **69**

- 3 The Definition of the Essence of Christianity — 71**
- 3.1 The functions of the essence definition of Christianity — **71**
- 3.1.1 Establishing the theological principle of the dogmatics — **71**
- 3.1.2 Replacing the method of proofs from the Bible — **73**
- 3.1.3 Striving for a consensus in the face of inevitable disagreements — **76**
- 3.2 Methodological reflections and key terms for defining the essence of Christianity in the Lectures of 1811 — **78**
- 3.3 Steps, explication and implications of the definition of the essence of Christianity in the first edition — **81**
- 3.3.1 The general and the individualising principles for capturing historical religions — **81**
- 3.3.2 The relation between a constructive and a comparative, historical-critical approach in the first edition — **84**
- 3.3.3 The explication of the concept of redemption — **85**
- 3.3.4 Implications of the essence formula for drawing limits — **88**
- 3.3.4.1 Deriving “natural heresies” from the concept of redemption — **88**
- 3.3.4.2 The “natural” and the “supernatural” regarding revelation — **90**
- 3.3.4.3 “Inner experience” as supra-rational, its secondary dogmatic conceptualisation as “rational” — **92**
- 3.4 The corrections and the new emphases of the second edition — **93**
- 3.4.1 Clarifications of the hermeneutical procedure — **93**
- 3.4.2 The relation between the sensible and the higher self-consciousness — **96**

- 3.4.3 Redemption – historical event or construction of reason? — 97
- 3.4.3.1 The limits of construction by a philosophy of religion — 97
- 3.4.3.2 Changes regarding the relationship of reason to revelation — 99

4 Critiques of the First Edition and Evaluation of the Changes to the Introduction as a Framework for the Dogmatics — 102

- 4.1 The reception of the first edition among rationalists and supernaturalists — 103
 - 4.1.1 Rationalist objections — 104
 - 4.1.2 A supernaturalist critique — 107
- 4.2 F. C. Baur’s interpretation of the *Glaubenslehre* as an “ideal rationalism” — 109
 - 4.2.1 F. C. Baur’s early critique — 110
 - 4.2.2 The final shape of the critique since Baur’s turn to Hegel — 117
 - 4.2.2.1 The method of inferring backwards from the effect to the cause — 118
 - 4.2.2.2 The intertwining of the archetypal and the historical — 119
 - 4.2.2.3 The determination of the content of redemption — 121
 - 4.2.3 The renewal of Baur’s critique by Alister McGrath — 125
 - 4.2.3.1 On the road to Feuerbach? — 125
 - 4.2.3.2 Lacking a historical foundation? The method of inference in the dogmatics — 128
- 4.3 Evaluation: The Introduction as a framework for the dogmatics. Directions of the revision, ongoing debates, and questions to Part Two — 131
 - 4.3.1 Key orientations in the revision of the first Introduction — 131
 - 4.3.2 The enduring bond of redemption to the person of Jesus Christ — 134
 - 4.3.3 Questions for the dogmatics — 136

II Schleiermacher’s Reworking of Christian Doctrine in his Material Dogmatics

Introduction — 141

- 5 **The Theological Anthropology of the *Glaubenslehre*: Original Perfection, Sinfulness and Receptivity for Redemption — 143**
 - 5.1 Theological anthropology in its role of mediating in two directions: to the doctrine of God and to soteriology — 143

- 5.2 The basic conception of a humanity receptive for redemption — **145**
- 5.2.1 Original perfection as the world's openness to enquiry — **145**
- 5.2.2 A model for combining natural determination with receptive and self-active individuality: Leibniz's theory of monads — **148**
- 5.2.3 The interpretation of human sinfulness — **154**
- 5.3 The revised argumentation of the second edition — **156**
- 5.3.1 The relationship of human sensibility to the God-consciousness — **157**
- 5.3.2 The content and the standing of human freedom — **160**
- 5.3.3 Objections to a naturalising concept of sin — **162**
- 5.4 Consequences of the revised subject theoretical foundations for the approach to Christology — **166**
- 5.4.1 Can a sinless existence be constructed a priori? — **166**
- 5.4.2 The completion of the creation of human nature and Jesus as "Second Adam" — **169**
- 5.5 Taking stock of the doctrines of human perfection and sinfulness — **170**

- 6 A Christology from Two Perspectives: the Consciousness of Redemption, and the Single Divine Decree — 172**
- 6.1 The basic outline of Christology — **173**
- 6.1.1 The inconsistencies of the two-natures terminology in Christology — **173**
- 6.1.2 The arguments for the unity of the archetypal and the historical in the person of Jesus — **177**
- 6.2 The final outline of Christology — **180**
- 6.2.1 The historical nature of the archetypal Jesus — **180**
- 6.2.2 Christ as the completion of creation — **184**
- 6.2.3 Evaluations of the turn to a Second-Adam Christology in the history of reception of the second edition — **188**
- 6.2.4 The mediation of redemption — **190**
- 6.3 The liberation of human God-consciousness by a sinless redeemer: Enough to secure the ongoing significance of Jesus Christ? — **193**
- 6.3.1 Jesus' distinction: the unique strength of his God-consciousness — **193**
- 6.3.2 The under-determination of Jesus' concrete relationship to God — **197**
- 6.3.3 Continuity of natural forces versus contingency of history — **201**
- 6.3.4 The balance between Christology and ecclesiology — **203**
- 6.4 Summary and guiding questions to the doctrine of God — **206**

- 7 A Doctrine of God Developed from the Feeling of Absolute Dependence — 208**
- 7.1 Foundational points from the first edition onwards: God as absolute causality — **209**
- 7.1.1 God and the “interconnected process of nature” (*Naturzusammenhang*) — **209**
- 7.1.2 The priority of preservation over creation — **212**
- 7.1.3 The view of personalistic concepts as limiting for God — **214**
- 7.2 Clarifications in the final elaboration of the doctrine of God — **217**
- 7.2.1 The status of Part One: not a natural theology — **218**
- 7.2.2 The role of the *Dialectic* — **220**
- 7.3 Ongoing disputes in the reception history — **222**
- 7.3.1 The general and the specifically Christian attributes of God — **222**
- 7.3.1.1 The canons of divine unity, simplicity and infinity, and the identity of essence and attributes — **222**
- 7.3.1.2 Love as a complete definition of God’s essence, or as a modification of divine causality? — **224**
- 7.3.2 The God-world framework: metaphysics of monism or of individuality, Spinoza or Leibniz? — **225**
- 7.3.2.1 Arguments for a Spinozist reading — **226**
- 7.3.2.1.1 Subordinating creation to preservation — **226**
- 7.3.2.1.2 Tying God to the world — **227**
- 7.3.2.1.3 Declaring ‘will’ and ‘can’ as identical in God — **228**
- 7.3.2.2 Points incompatible with Spinozism — **228**
- 7.3.2.2.1 The definition of piety itself reached in an analysis of subjectivity — **229**
- 7.3.2.2.2 *Creatio ex nihilo* as indicator of the difference between God and world — **229**
- 7.3.2.2.3 The explication of the attributes of omniscience and of eternity — **230**
- 7.3.2.2.4 Individuality not as transition point, but as constituted in itself — **230**
- 7.3.3 Consequences for the concept of human freedom in relation to the world and towards God — **231**
- 7.3.3.1 Freedom as the backstop against a reduction to materialism — **232**
- 7.3.3.2 Not recognised: the unconditionality of human freedom — **232**
- 7.3.3.3 A test case: The reasons for endorsing an *apokatastasis panton* — **235**

8	Conclusion: Creation and Redemption in Categories of Freedom — 237
8.1	Key theory decisions and achievements of Schleiermacher's <i>Glaubenslehre</i> — 237
8.1.1	The choice of thought form: human freedom — 238
8.1.2	Renewing Christology — 238
8.1.3	Recasting theology as a project of elaborating the core truth of Christianity in critical interaction with modernity — 240
8.2	Problems with specific argumentations and solutions — 241
8.2.1	Overdrawing the result of the analysis of self-consciousness — 241
8.2.2	Underdetermining the content of Christology — 242
8.2.3	Making the case for a changeless God — 243
8.2.4	Underrating the critical contribution of theological perspectives to the dialogue of the humanities with the natural sciences — 245
8.3	Opening up a new era: Duns Scotus's conception of a God who creates free counterparts and risks an open history — 247
8.3.1	The distinction between <i>potentia Dei absoluta</i> and <i>potentia Dei ordinata</i> — 248
8.3.2	The addressees of God's love: Human beings in their freedom — 249
8.3.3	The <i>haecceitas</i> of Jesus — 250
8.3.4	The outcome of history as an open theological question — 252
	Bibliography — 255
1	Sources — 255
2	Secondary literature — 256
	Person Index — 270
	Subject Index — 273

